<u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

Date of Report:	June 20, 2005			
Water Body Under Evaluation Identification #: Name: Length of Segment: County (ies):	on: 1278 Elk Fork (C) 4.5 miles Bates			
Use Attainability Analysis S Identification #: Submitter: Date Received: Use Evaluated:	tudy: 1024 DNR staff - Chatfield/Woo July 7, 2005 Whole Body Contact Recre)	
UAA Completeness Check: All Required Informa Correct Forms Used? Forms Signed and Da Comments:		∑ Yes∑ Yes∑ Yes	□ No□ No□ No	
<u>UAA Criteria Evaluated</u> :	☐ 1-Natural Pollutant Sou ☐ 2-Natural, Ephemeral, ☐ ☐ 3-Non-Remedial, Human ☐ 4-Hydrologic Modificat ☐ 5-Natural Physical Feat ☐ 6-Substantial, Widespre	Intermittent or an Caused Contions. tures.	dition.	
Use Determination & Recom Has the use existed si Comments:	nmendation: ince November 28, 1975?	Yes	☐ No	⊠ Unknown
stream met th were o	Attainable? AA was conducted in June, a did not meet the maximum are average depth criterion in conducted. Because of the ownerds retaining the WBCR	depth criterion 1 of 3 sites eva verall depth at	n in any of the duated. No inte	3 sites and erviews
Committee Recommend	dation: Retain Use	Modify Use	Remove Use	☐ Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Stacia Bax, Ann Crawford,	, Donna Menov	wn	



Water Protection & Soil Conservation Division Water Protection Program Use Attainability Analysis Toll Free (800) 361-4827